





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,178	06/24/2002		Joe Dale Reed	-	6613	
7	590	03/24/2003				
DALE REED)	EXAMINER				
2371 HWY 8 F HOUSTON, M			LOPEZ, MICHELLE			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3721		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					MA				
. ,		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	NV I				
	10/072,178		REED, JOE DA	LE					
	Examiner		Art Unit						
	Michelle Lo	•	3721						
Ti Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover si	heet with the correspondence a	address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>11 February 200</u>	<u>)2</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ⊤1	nis action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is r	on-fina	1.					
3)□ S	nce this application is in condition	for allowance except	for forn	nal matters, prosecution as to	the merits is				
Disposition			ayıe, n	935 C.D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.					
•	aim(s) 1 is/are pending in the appl								
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from con	siderati	ion.					
5) <u> </u>	aim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.									
· ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.								
	aim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election re	quirem	ent.					
Application	-	Evaminar							
	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	spplicant may not request that any obje				a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
	ler 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
_	knowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un	der 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
·	All b) Some * c) None of:								
	Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n receiv	ved.					
2.	Certified copies of the priority	documents have bee	n receiv	ved in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s									
2) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Employ Services of Attorney or agent

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is fro sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

(a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178

Art Unit: 3721

- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A

 COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program
 listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables
 having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact
 discs.) or

 REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).
- "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178

Art Unit: 3721

acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

3. Content of Specification:

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data shet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178

Art Unit: 3721

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37

 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- general statement of the invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178

Art Unit: 3721

Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f).

 A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet (37 CFR 1.52(b)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178 Page 7

Art Unit: 3721

disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

- (k) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.
- 4. If applicant continues to prosecute the application, revision of the specification and claims to present the application in proper form is required. While an application can be amended to make it clearly understandable, no subject matter can be added that was not disclosed in the application as originally filed.

Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 3721

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and 6. should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred

Page 8

7. The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

modification or alternative.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

Art Unit: 3721

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

8. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in a proper format.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71 because it fails to provide an adequate description of the invention in a manner to enable someone to make the invention, for example: the specification details of the components are not described.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,178 Page 10

Art Unit: 3721

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C 112, Pro Se

The claim is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Montalvo (US Pat. 5,485,714) in view of Osborn (US Pat. 4,085,822). Montalvo discloses a cord switch "58", blowers "46" and "48", a bagger encloser "12", time delay relays "70" and "80", and a relay socket "54". Montalvo does not disclose a bag holder. However, Osborn teaches a bag holder (See Fig. 1 and 2) for the purpose of supporting the bag in a vertical position during the opening process. In view of Osborn, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 3721

have provided Montalvo's invention including a bag holder in order to support the bag in a vertical position allowing the airflow blowing inside the bag filling it to a fully opened position.

Also, Montalvo does not specifically state a combination of a motion sensor with a delay relay. Examiner takes Official Notice of including a combination of a motion sensor with a time delay relay for the purpose of sensing the motion of a person approaching or leaving the bag station and sending a signal to the time delay relay which will turn on or off the blower respectively. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Montalvo's invention including a combination of a motion sensor with a time delay relay in order to sense the presence of a person near the bag station and send a signal to a time delay relay which will turn the blower on or off depending on the sensor's signal.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hutchinson (US Pat. 3,673,767), Panasewicz (US Pat. 3,678,652), Sawa et al. (US Pat. 4,567,715), and Gabree et al. (US Pat. 5,442,898) are cited to show related inventions.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 703-305-8205. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday: 8:00 am 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 3721

Page 12

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7769 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ML

March 19, 2003

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700